# Refinement Types for TypeScript

Panagiotis Vekris Benjamin Cosman Ranjit Jhala

University of California, San Diego

PLDI'16 Thursday, June 16

TypeScript ←



TypeScript



#### **PL Interest**

**Higher Order Functions** 

**Object Oriented** 

**Optionally Typed** 

Generics



class Greeter <t> { areetina: T:</t>	
construct (property) Greeter <t>.greeting: T</t>	•
<pre>this.greeting = message;</pre>	
} greet() {	
return this.greeting;	
}	
}	



Verification

#### Documentation

No runtime overhead









5



assert (user.auth())

assert (i < a.length)</pre>

#### Example

### Compute the index of the minimum element of an array

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}</pre>
```

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;</pre>
```

## reduce folds over the elements of an array

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}
function minIndex(a) {
  if (a.length <= 0) return -1;
  function step(min, cur, i) {
    return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
  }
```

```
return reduce(a, step, 0);
```

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}
function minIndex(a) {
  if (a.length \leq 0) return -1;
  function step(min, cur, i) {
    return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
  }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
```

Calls reduce with an appropriate step function and initialization

### Example

#### Compute the index of the minimum element of an array

### Verification goal

Prove that all array accesses are within bounds

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
                                        Array bounds analysis:
}
```

```
function minIndex(a) {
  if (a.length <= 0) return -1;
  function step(min, cur, i) {
    return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
  }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
}
```

0≤min<lena

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
   res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
                                       Array bounds analysis:
}
                                          0≤min<lena
function minIndex(a) {
 if (a.length <= 0) return -1;
                                         Constraint between
 function step(min, cur, i) {
                                             two values
    return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
 }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
}
```

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
   res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
                                       Array bounds analysis:
}
                                          0≤min<lena
function minIndex(a) {
 if (a.length \leq 0) return -1;
                                         Constraint between
 function step(min, cur, i) {
                                              two values
   return cur < a [min ] ? i : min;</pre>
 }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
}
```

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
   res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
                                       Array bounds analysis:
}
                                         0≤min<lena
function minIndex(a) {
 if (a.length <= 0) return -1;
                                        Constraint between
 function step(min, cur, i) {
                                             two values
   return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
 }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
                                        Constraint between
}
                                         value and closure
```

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}
function minIndex(a) {
  if (a.length \leq 0) return -1;
  function step(min, cur, i) {
    return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
  }
  return reduce(a, step, 0);
```

# Constraint between value and closure

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}
function minIndex(a) {</pre>
```

```
if (a.length <= 0) return -1;
function step(min, cur, i) {
```

```
return cur < a [ min ] ? i : min;</pre>
```

```
return reduce(a, step, 0);
```

}

Constraint carries over through call to **function parameters** 

Constraint between value and closure

function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x;
 for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
 res = f(res, a[i], i);
 return res;
}</pre>

Constraint carries over through call to **function parameters** 

> Constraint **checked** on invocation



# Problem

# To check array access we must track relations between closures and values

# Problem

# To check array access we must track relations between closures and values

# **Solution** Refinement types





"Set of values  $\vee$  of type b such that formula p is true"



"Set of values V of type b such that formula p is true"

E.g.: { v: number  $| 0 \le v \land v < len a$  } "Set of valid indexes for an array a"

function reduce(a, f, x) { ... }

```
function reduce(a, f, x) { ... }
```



function reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B, A, number) => B, x: B): B { ... }



## Basic typing offers some guarantees



### Basic typing offers **some** guarantees



### Basic typing offers **some** guarantees



but **not value** related ones

### How can we type reduce to account for valid indexes?

```
function reduce(a, f, x) { ... }
```



function reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B, A, number) => B, x: B): B { ... }



function reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B, A, idx<a>) => B, x: B): B { ... }

### How can we type reduce to account for valid indexes?


#### How can we type reduce to account for valid indexes?

```
function reduce(a, f, x) { ... }
```



function reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B, A, number) => B, x: B): B { ... }



function reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B, A, idx<a>) => B, x: B): B { ... }

Captures the relation between **closure** and **value** 

## **Our contribution**

Design a refinement type system for TypeScript



Challenges	Solutions we used
<pre>Assignments while (i &lt; n) { i++; }</pre>	SSA Transformation
<pre>Mutability var x = { f: 1 }; x.f = 2;</pre>	Extend type system with immutability guarantees
<b>Overloading</b> foo(x: number): number foo(x: boolean): boolean	Two-phased typing
Annotation Overhead	Liquid Types

Challenges	Solutions we used	
<b>Assignments</b> while (i < n) { i++; }	SSA Transformation	
<pre>Mutability var x = { f: 1 }; x.f = 2;</pre>	Extend type system with immutability guarantees	
<b>Overloading</b> foo(x: number): number foo(x: boolean): boolean	Two-phased typing	
Annotation Overhead	Liquid Types	

# Assignments while (i < n) { i++; }</pre>

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var r = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    r = f(r, a[i], i);
  return r;
}</pre>
```

}

function reduce(a, f, x) { var r = x;var i = 0;while (i < a.length) {</pre> r = f(r, a[i], i); i = i + 1;}

return r;

}

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
    var r = x;
    var i = 0;
    while (i < a.length) {</pre>
        r = f(r, a[i], i);
        i = i + 1;
    }
    return r;
```

}



}

## Types for i

{number 
$$| v = 0$$
}



}

## Types for i

{number | v = 0}

{number  $| 0 \le v \le len a$ }



Types for i

{number | v = 0}

{number  $| 0 \le v \le len a$ }

{number |v = i + 1}

return r;

}



## Types for i

{number | v = 0}

{number  $| 0 \le v \le len a$ }

{number |v=i+1}

{ number | v = len a }

## No single type for i



## Types for i

{number | v = 0}

{number  $| 0 \le v \le len a$ }

{number |v = i + 1}

{ number | v = len a }

#### No single type for i

Joining types of i causes loss of precision

## No single type for i

Joining types of i causes loss of precision



#### Use different versions of i

## Use different versions of i



## Types for i

{number | v = 0}

{number  $| 0 \le v \le len a$ }

{number | v = i + 1}

{ number | v = len a }

## Use different versions of i

<pre>function reduce(a, f, x) {</pre>
var r = x;
var i <sub>1</sub> = 0;
while (i <sub>2</sub> < a.length) {
r = f(r, a[i <sub>2</sub> ], i <sub>2</sub> );
$i_3 = i_2 + 1;$
} // i <sub>4</sub>
return r;

}

Types for 
$$i_1 - i_4$$

 $i_1: \{number | v = 0\}$ 

 $i_2$ : { number |  $0 \le v \le len a$  }

 $i_3: \{number | v = i_2 + 1\}$ 

 $i_1: \{ number \mid v = len a \}$ 

Each version of i has a single precise type & gets assigned once

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
    var r = x;
    var i_1 = 0;
    while (i_2 < a.length) {
        r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);
        i_3 = i_2 + 1;
    } // i₄
    return r;
```

}

Types for 
$$i_1 - i_4$$

 $|i_1: \{number | v = 0\}$ 

 $i_2$ : { number |  $0 \le v \le len a$  }

 $i_3: \{number | v = i_2 + 1\}$ 

 $i_4$ : { number | v = len a }

#### Static Single Assignment (SSA)

<pre>function reduce(a, f, x) {</pre>
var r = x;
var i <sub>1</sub> = 0;
<pre>while (i<sub>2</sub> &lt; a.length) {</pre>
r = f(r, a[i <sub>2</sub> ], i <sub>2</sub> );
$i_3 = i_2 + 1;$
} // i <sub>4</sub>
return r;

}

Types for 
$$i_1 - i_4$$

 $i_1: \{number \mid v = 0\}$ 

 $i_2$ : { number |  $0 \le v \le len a$  }

 $i_3: \{number | v = i_2 + 1\}$ 

 $i_4: \{number \mid v = len a\}$ 

#### Static Single Assignment (SSA)

<pre>function reduce(a,</pre>	f, x) {	Types for i₁-i₄	
var r = x;			
var i <sub>1</sub> = 0;		$i_1: \{number   v = 0\}$	
<pre>while (i<sub>2</sub> &lt; a.length) {</pre>		i₂:{number 0≤v≤lenc	
r = f(r, a[	[i <sub>2</sub> ], i <sub>2</sub> );		
$i_3 = i_2 + 1$	• •	$i_3: \{number   v = i_2 + 1 \}$	
} // i <sub>4</sub>		$i_4: \{number \mid v = len a \}$	
return r;			
} F	low do we check	these types?	

 $|0 \le v \le len a \}$ 



#### Assignment

## x = e

#### generates subtyping constraint

## Type(e) <: Type(x)

function reduce(a, f, x) { var r = x;var  $i_1 = 0;$ while  $(i_2 < a.length)$  {  $r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);$ **Generated constraints**  $i_3 = i_2 + 1;$ Type( $\emptyset$ ) <: Type( $i_1$ ) } // i<sub>4</sub> return r;

}

function reduce(a, f, x) { var r = x;var  $i_1 = 0;$ while  $(i_2 < a.length)$  {  $r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);$  $i_3 = i_2 + 1;$ } // i₄ return r;

}

**i**: loop induction variable  $i_2 = \phi(i_1, i_3)$ 

Generated constraints

Type(0) <: Type(i<sub>1</sub>)

function reduce(a, f, x) { var r = x;var  $i_1 = 0;$ while  $(i_2 < a.length)$  {  $r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);$  $i_3 = i_2 + 1;$ } // i₄ return r;

}

i: loop induction variable  $i_2 = \phi(i_1, i_3)$ 

**Generated constraints** 

Type( $\emptyset$ )<:</th>Type( $i_1$ )Type( $i_1$ )<:</td>Type( $i_2$ )

#### Subtyping Constraints **1**: loop induction variable function reduce(a, f, x) { $i_2 = \phi(i_1, i_3)$ var r = x;var $i_1 = 0;$ while $(i_2 < a.length)$ { $r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);$ Generated constraints $i_3 = i_2 + 1;$ Type( $\emptyset$ ) <: Type( $i_1$ ) Type( $i_1$ ) <: Type( $i_2$ ) } // i<sub>4</sub> $loop\_cond \vdash Type(i_3) <: Type(i_2)$ return r;

}

#### Subtyping Constraints i: loop induction variable function reduce(a, f, x) { $i_{2} = \phi(i_{1}, i_{3})$ var r = x; var $i_1 = 0;$ Loop condition $i_2 < len a$ while $(i_2 < a.length)$ { $r = f(r, a[i_2], i_2);$ Generated constraints $i_3 = i_2 + 1;$ Type( $\emptyset$ ) <: Type( $i_1$ ) Type( $i_1$ ) <: Type( $i_2$ ) } // i<sub>4</sub> **loop\_cond** $\vdash$ Type(i<sub>3</sub>) <: Type(i<sub>2</sub>) return r; } **Path Sensitivitv**

, x) {		
	Loop	condition
ngth) {	-	i <sub>2</sub> < lena
$[2], i_2$ ; <b>Genera</b>	ted o	constraints
Type(0)	<:	Type(i <sub>1</sub> )
Type(i <sub>1</sub> )	<:	Type(i <sub>2</sub> )
loop_cond ⊢ Type(i <sub>3</sub> )	<:	Type(i <sub>2</sub> )
loop_cond $\vdash$ Type(i <sub>2</sub> + 1)	<:	Type(i <sub>3</sub> )
	<pre>, x) { ngth) { 2], i<sub>2</sub>); General Type(0) Type(i<sub>1</sub>) loop_cond ⊢ Type(i<sub>2</sub> + 1)</pre>	<pre>, x) {     Loop ngth) {         Z_], i_2);         Generated c         Type(0) &lt;:         Type(i_1) &lt;:         Loop_cond ⊢ Type(i_2 + 1) &lt;:         Loop_cond ⊢ Type(i_2 + 1) &lt;:         </pre>

function reduce(a,	f, x) {	Safe A	rray Access
var $r = x;$			
var i <sub>1</sub> = 0;		Loop	o condition
while (i <sub>2</sub> < a.l	ength) {	-	i <sub>2</sub> < lena
r = f(r, <mark>a</mark> [	<b>i</b> <sub>2</sub> ], i <sub>2</sub> ); <b>Ge</b>	nerated	constraints
$i_3 = i_2 + 1$	; Type(	) <:	Type(i <sub>1</sub> )
} // i <sub>4</sub>	Type(i	i <sub>1</sub> ) <:	Type(i <sub>2</sub> )
return r:	loop_cond ⊢ Type(i	i <sub>3</sub> ) <:	Type(i <sub>2</sub> )
, 1	loop_cond ⊢ Type(i	2 + 1) <:	Type(i₃)
}	loop_cond ⊢ Type(i	2) <:	idx <a></a>



## After substitution

## **Convert to logical implications**

- $v = 0 \implies v = 0$
- $v = 0 \implies 0 \le v \le len a$
- $i_2 < lena \implies v = i_2 + 1 \implies$

 $i_2 < lena \implies$ 

- $v = i_2 + 1 \implies$
- $i_2 < lena \implies 0 \le v \le lena \implies$

- $0 \le v \le len a$ 
  - $v = i_2 + 1$
- $0 \le v < len a$

## Convert to logical implications Solved via SMT



 $v = 0 \implies$ 

- $v = 0 \implies 0 \le v \le len a$
- $i_2 < lena \implies v = i_2 + 1 \implies$
- $i_2 < len a \implies v = i_2 + 1 \implies$
- $i_2 < lena \implies 0 \le v \le lena \implies$

- $0 \le v \le len a$ 
  - $v = i_2 + 1$
- $0 \le v < len a$

Challenges	Solutions we used
<pre>Assignments while (i &lt; n) { i++; }</pre>	SSA Transformation
<pre>Mutability var x = { f: 1 }; x.f = 2;</pre>	Extend type system with immutability guarantees
<b>Overloading</b> foo(x: number): number foo(x: boolean): boolean	Two-phased typing
Annotation Overhead	Liquid Types

## **Mutability**
```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  return res;
}</pre>
```

function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x; 1
 for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
 res = f(res, a[i], i);
 return res;
}</pre>

1. i is initialized to 0

- 1. i is initialized to 0
- 2. i is bounded by a's length

function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x; 1 2 3
 for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
 res = f(res, a[i], i);
 return res;
}</pre>

- 1. i is initialized to 0
- 2. i is bounded by a's length
- 3. i increases only

function reduce(a, f, x) {
 var res = x; 1 2 3
 for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++)
 res = f(res, a[i], i);
 return res;
}</pre>

- 1. i is initialized to 0
- 2. i is bounded by a's length
- 3. i increases only
- 4. Length of a does not mutate in loop

```
function reduce(a, f, x) {
  var res = x;
  for (var i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
      a.pop();
      res = f(res, a[i], i);
   }
  return res;
}</pre>
```











### Problem: stale checks break value reasoning







#### Extend type system to enforce immutability constraints

### Literature in Object & Reference Immutability

M. Tschantz and M. D. Ernst. Javari: Adding reference immutability to Java. OOPSLA, 2005.

Y. Zibin, A. Potanin, M. Ali, S. Artzi, A. Kiezun, and M. D. Ernst. Object and Reference Immutability using Java Generics. ESEC/FSE, 2007.

Y. Zibin, A. Potanin, P. Li, M. Ali, and M. D. Ernst. Ownership and Immutability in Generic Java. OOPSLA, 2010.

C. S. Gordon, M. J. Parkinson, J. Parsons, A. Bromfield, and J. Duffy. Uniqueness & Reference Immutability for Safe Parallelism. OOPSLA, 2012.

C. S. Gordon, M. D. Ernst, and D. Grossman. Rely-Guarantee References for Refinement Types over Aliased Mutable Data. PLDI, 2013.

F. Militão, J. Aldrich, and L. Caires. Rely-Guarantee Protocols. ECOOP, 2014.

### Literature in Object & Reference Immutability

Y. Zibin, A. Potanin, M. Ali, S. Artzi, A. Kiezun, and M. D. Ernst. Object and Reference Immutability using Java Generics. ESEC/FSE, 2007.

- ✓ Simple extension to type system
- ✓ Encoded in base types refinements leverage immutability guarantees

Mutability as type parameter



	This can mutate?	Others can mutate?
ReadOnly	×	$\checkmark$
Mutable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Immutable	×	×



	This can mutate?	Others can mutate?
ReadOnly	×	$\checkmark$
Mutable	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Immutable	×	×

```
function reduce<A,B>(a: Array<Immutable,A>,
                      f: (B,A,idx < a >) \Rightarrow B,
                      x: B): B {
  var res = x;
  for (let i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
    a.pop();
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
  }
  return res;
}
interface Array<M extends ReadOnly, T> {
                                                          lib-IGJ.d.ts
 /**
   * Removes the last element from an array and returns it.
   */
  /*@ Mutable */ pop(): T;
}
```

```
function reduce<A,B>(a: Array<Immutable,A>,
                     f: (B,A,idx < a >) \Rightarrow B,
                     x: B): B {
 var res = x;
  for (let i = 0; i < a.length; i++) {
   a.pop();
                            Call to pop is flagged as an error,
    res = f(res, a[i], i);
                                 because pop may only be
 }
                              applied to Mutable receivers
  return res;
}
interface Array<M extends ReadOnly, T> {
                                                      lib-IGJ.d.ts
 /**
   * Removes the last element from an array and returns it.
```

}

Challenges	Solutions we used
<pre>Assignments while (i &lt; n) { i++; }</pre>	SSA Transformation
<pre>Mutability var x = { f: 1 }; x.f = 2;</pre>	Extend type system with immutability guarantees
<b>Overloading</b> foo(x: number): number foo(x: boolean): boolean	Two-phased typing
Annotation Overhead	Liquid Types

### Value Based

### Overloading

foo(x: number): number
foo(x: boolean): boolean

```
function $reduce(a, f, x?) {
  if (arguments.length === 3)
    return reduce(a, f, x);
  else
    return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}
```

#args	Signature
2	
3	



#args	Signature
2	
3	<pre><a,b>(a: A[], f: (B,A,idx<a>) =&gt; B, x: B): B</a></a,b></pre>



#args	Signature
2	<pre><a> (a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) =&gt; A ): A</a></a></pre>
3	<pre><a,b>(a: A[], f: (B,A,idx<a>) =&gt; B, x: B): B</a></a,b></pre>

Function reflects upon and behaves according to types of its arguments

#### Q1: What makes it challenging?

### Q2: How pervasive is it?

```
function $reduce(a, f, x?) {
    if (arguments.length === 3)
        return reduce(a, f, x);
    else
        return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
        Type Analysis
        (base types)
```

}

Value Analysis

(refinements)



Refinements use invariants established by base types E.g. tracking the .length access requires arguments to be array



Refinements use invariants established by base types E.g. tracking the .length access requires arguments to be array

Type reasoning requires tracking logical relationships E.g. base type of X depends on the value of arguments.length



Refinements use invariants established by base types E.g. tracking the .length access requires arguments to be array

Type reasoning requires tracking logical relationships E.g. base type of X depends on the value of arguments.length

Circular dependency complicates formal reasoning & implementation

#### Q2: How pervasive is it?



Study set:

**DefinitelyTyped**: The repository for high quality TypeScript type definitions

http://definitelytyped.org/

#### Q2: How pervasive is it?



Study set:

**DefinitelyTyped**: The repository for high quality TypeScript type definitions

http://definitelytyped.org/

```
function $reduce<A> (a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) => A ): A
function $reduce<A,B>(a: A[], f: (B,A,idx<a>) => B, x: B): B
function $reduce(a, f, x?) {
    if (arguments.length === 3)
        return reduce(a, f, x);
    else
        return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}
```



#### Phase 1a. Make clones of body for each overload



### Phase 1a. Make clones of body for each overload

```
function $reduce#1<A>(a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) => A): A {
    if (arguments.length === 3)
        return reduce(a, f, x);
    else
        return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}
```

#### Phase 1b. Check body under clone signature
## How do we check overloaded functions? Two-Phased Typing [ECOOP'15]

function \$reduce#1<A>(a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) => A): A {
 if (arguments.length === 3)
 return reduce(a, f, x);
 else
 return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}

Value- and path-insensitive type-checking

Phase 1b. Check body under clone signature

## How do we check overloaded functions? Two-Phased Typing [ECOOP'15]

```
function $reduce#1<A>(a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) => A): A {
    if (arguments.length === 3)
        return assert(false);
    else
        return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}
```

Replace errors with assert(false), trusting they are indeed dead-code

## Phase 1b. Check body under clone signature

## How do we check overloaded functions? Two-Phased Typing [ECOOP'15]

```
function $reduce#1<A>(a: A[]+, f: (A,A,idx<a>) => A): A {
    if (arguments.length === 3)
        return assert(false);
    else
        return reduce(a.slice(1), f, a[0]);
}
```

Prove dead-code with flow- and path-sensitive analysis

## Phase 2. Refinement Type Checking



Prove dead-code with flow- and path-sensitive analysis

## Phase 2. Refinement Type Checking



Prove dead-code with flow- and path-sensitive analysis

## Phase 2. Refinement Type Checking

## Also in the paper...

#### Scaling to TypeScript

• Type features

Object literal types Interface types Primitive types Unsound features Undefined & null types Co- & Contra-variant subtyping Unchecked overloads ANY type

- Array support
- Flexible object initialization Internal: Constructors External: Unique references

# Also in the paper...

#### Scaling to TypeScript

• Type features

Object literal types Interface types Primitive types Unsound features Undefined & null types Co- & Contra-variant subtyping Unchecked overloads ANY type

#### **Formal Results**

Refinement type safety for core language

- Array support
- Flexible object initialization Internal: Constructors External: Unique references

# **Experimental Evaluation**



File	LOC
navier-stokes	366
splay	206
richards	304
raytrace	576
transducers	588
d3-arrays	189
tsc-checker	293
Total	2522

File	LOC	
navier-stokes	366	
splay	206	
richards	304	
raytrace	576	
transducers	588	
d3-arrays	189	
tsc-checker	293	
Total	2522	

#### Octane

- NavierStokes: 2D fluid motion simulator
- Splay: splay tree implementation
- Richards: OS kernel simulator
- Raytrace: ray trace renderer

File	LOC	
navier-stokes	366	
splay	206	
richards	304	
raytrace	576	
transducers	588	
d3-arrays	189	
tsc-checker	293	
Total	2522	

#### Octane

- NavierStokes: 2D fluid motion simulator
- Splay: splay tree implementation
- Richards: OS kernel simulator
- Raytrace: ray trace renderer

**Transducers** Composable algorithmic transformations

File	LOC
navier-stokes	366
splay	206
richards	304
raytrace	576
transducers	588
d3-arrays	189
tsc-checker	293
Total	2522

#### Octane

- NavierStokes: 2D fluid motion simulator
- Splay: splay tree implementation
- Richards: OS kernel simulator
- Raytrace: ray trace renderer

**Transducers** Composable algorithmic transformations

#### D3: A JavaScript visualization library

- Array operations

File	LOC
navier-stokes	366
splay	206
richards	304
raytrace	576
transducers	588
d3-arrays	189
tsc-checker	293
Total	2522

#### Octane

- NavierStokes: 2D fluid motion simulator
- Splay: splay tree implementation
- Richards: OS kernel simulator
- Raytrace: ray trace renderer

Transducers Composable algorithmic transformations

#### D3: A JavaScript visualization library

Array operations

#### **Microsoft's TypeScript compiler**

- Parts of core.ts and checker.ts

#### **Annotation Overhead**

File	LOC	Annots (% LOC)
navier-stokes	366	24.6
splay	206	9.7
richards	304	27.3
raytrace	576	14.6
transducers	588	27.6
d3-arrays	189	26.5
tsc-checker	293	23.4
Total	2522	21.4

Programs need to be fully typed
no any type in signatures



## Performance

File	LOC	Annots (% LOC)	Time (sec)
navier-stokes	366	24.6	473
splay	206	9.7	6
richards	304	27.3	7
raytrace	576	14.6	15
transducers	588	27.6	12
d3-arrays	189	26.5	37
tsc-checker	293	23.4	62
Total	2522	21.4	

#### Performance

File	LOC	Annots (% LOC)	Time (sec)
navier-stokes	366	24.6	473
splay	206	9.7	6
richards	304	27.3	7
raytrace	576	14.6	15
transducers	588	27.6	12
d3-arrays	189	26.5	37
tsc-checker	293	23.4	62
Total	2522	21.4	

More than 100 static array access sites with dynamically computed indexes

## **Properties Tested**



- Property accesses
- Array bounds checks
- Overloads
- Safe Downcasts
  - Class based
  - Ad hoc type hierarchies
- User specified value properties. E.g. a function:
  - returns a positive number
  - accepts non-empty arrays

#### **Properties Tested**



# Safe Downcasts

Ad hoc type hierarchies

Example taken from:

TypeScript compiler - v1.0.1.0 - src/compiler/types.ts

interface IType {...} interface IClass extends IType {...} interface IAny extends IType {...} interface IObject extends IType {...} interface IInterface extends IObject {...}



interface IType {...} interface IClass extends IType {...} interface IAny extends IType {...} interface IObject extends IType {...} interface IInterface extends IObject {...}



#### TypeScript interfaces are plain JavaScript objects no type information at runtime

interface IType { flags:TypeFlags; }
interface IClass extends IType {...}
interface IAny extends IType {...}
interface IObject extends IType {...}
interface IInterface extends IObject {...}



const enum TypeFlags {
Any $= 0 \times 0001$ ,
$Class = 0 \times 0400,$
Interface = 0x0800,
ObjType = Class
Interface
· · · ·
}

TypeScript interfaces are plain JavaScript objects no type information at runtime

#### Explicit field (flags) to encode type info needed for dynamic tests



#### Invariants



#### Invariants

t.flags & 0x0400

 $\neq 0 \Rightarrow t: IClass$ 



#### Invariants

t.flags &  $0 \times 0400 \qquad \neq 0 \Rightarrow t$ : IClass

t.flags & (0x0400|0x0800|...) ≠ 0 ⇒ t: I0bject

```
interface IType { flags:TypeFlags; }
...
const enum TypeFlags {
    Any = 0x0001,
    Class = 0x0400,
    Interface = 0x0800,
    ObjType = Class
        Interface
        ...
}
```

t.flags & 0x0400 ≠ 0 ⇒ t: IClass
t.flags & (0x0400|0x0800|...) ≠ 0 ⇒ t: IObject

```
interface IType { flags:TypeFlags; }
...
const enum TypeFlags {
    Any = 0x0001,
    Class = 0x0400,
    Interface = 0x0800,
    ObjType = Class
        Interface
        I...
}
```

```
var t: IType = ...
if (t.flags & TypeFlags.Class) {
  var o = <IClass> t;
}
```

t.flags &  $0 \times 0400$   $\neq 0 \Rightarrow$  t: IClass

t.flags & (0x0400|0x0800|...) ≠ 0 ⇒ t: I0bject

```
interface IType { flags:TypeFlags; }
....
const enum TypeFlags {
    Any = 0x0001,
    Class = 0x0400,
    Interface = 0x0800,
    ObjType = Class
        Interface
        I...
}
```

```
var t: IType = ...
if (t.flags & TypeFlags.Class) {
  var o = <IClass> t;
}
```

t.flags &  $0 \times 0400$   $\neq 0 \Rightarrow$  t: IClass t.flags &  $(0 \times 0400 | 0 \times 0800 | ...) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$  t: IObject



t.flags &  $0 \times 0400 \qquad \neq 0 \Rightarrow t$ : IClass

t.flags & (0x0400|0x0800|...) ≠ 0 ⇒ t: I0bject



# Solution

Encode invariants in refinement types



# interface S $\{\dots\}$

x: S ⇔ implements(x, 'S')



# Type for flags accounts for possible sub-interfaces

type TypeFlagInv = TypeFlags



# Type for flags accounts for possible sub-interfaces





# Type for flags accounts for possible sub-interfaces

}

```
interface IType { flags:TypeFlagInv; }
...
const enum TypeFlags {
    Any = 0x0001,
    Class = 0x0400,
    Interface = 0x0800,
    ObjType = Class
        Interface
        ...
}
```

```
type TypeFlagInv = { TypeFlags |
    mask(v,0x0001) ⇒ implements(this, 'IAny')
    A mask(v,0x0400) ⇒ implements(this, 'IClass')
    A mask(v,0x0800) ⇒ implements(this, 'IInterface')
    A ... }
```

```
interface IType { flags:TypeFlagInv; }
...
const enum TypeFlags {
    Any = 0x0001,
    Class = 0x0400,
    Interface = 0x0800,
    ObjType = Class
        Interface
        I...
}
```

var t: IType = ...
if (t.flags & TypeFlags.Class) {
 var o = <IClass> t;
}

type TypeFlagInv = { TypeFlags |
 mask(v,0x0001) ⇒ implements(this, 'IAny')
 A mask(v,0x0400) ⇒ implements(this, 'IClass')
 A mask(v,0x0800) ⇒ implements(this, 'IInterface')
 A ... }



```
var t: IType = ...
if (t.flags & TypeFlags.Class) {
  var o = <IClass> t;
}
```

```
Check downcast
```

```
type TypeFlagInv = { TypeFlags |
    mask(v, 0x0001) \Rightarrow implements(this, 'IAny')
  \land mask(v,0x0400) \Rightarrow implements(this, 'IClass')
  \land mask(v,0x0800) \Rightarrow implements(this, 'IInterface')
  Λ... }
```










## Refinement Types for TypeScript

Extensible static analysis for a modern scripting language

- ✓ Fixed type tests
- ✓ User specified invariants



Challenges	Solutions
Assignments	SSA Transformation
Mutability	Extend type system with immutability guarantees
Overloading	Two-phased typing
Annotation Overhead	Liquid Types

**Source:** github.com/UCSD-PL/refscript

**Demo:** goto.ucsd.edu/~pvekris/refscript

## Thanks!