

Software Verification : Introduction

Ranjit Jhala, UC San Diego

April 4, 2013

What is Algorithmic Verification?

Algorithms, Techniques and Tools to ensure that

- ▶ Programs
- ▶ Don't Have
- ▶ Bugs

(What does that *mean* ? Stay tuned...)

Topics

Most people here know what it means so more concretely. . .

1. Survey of *basics* of software verification [me]
2. Building up to *refinement type-based* verification [me]
3. Culminating with *recent topics* in verification. [you]

Goals

1. Train students in state of the art, preparation for research
2. Write a monograph synthesizing different lines of work

Goals

1. *Use* tools for different languages to see ideas in practice
2. *Develop* ideas in a *single, unified, simplified* (aka “toy”) PL

Plan

- ▶ **Part 1** Deductive Verification
- ▶ **Part 2** Type Systems
- ▶ **Part 3** Refinement Types
- ▶ **Part 4** Abstract Interpretation
- ▶ **Part 5** Heap and Dynamic Languages
- ▶ **Part 6** Project Talks

Plan: 1 Deductive Verification

- ▶ Logics & Decision Procedures
- ▶ Floyd-Hoare Logic
- ▶ Verification Conditions
- ▶ Symbolic Execution

Plan: 2 Type Systems

- ▶ Hindley-Milner
- ▶ Subtyping
- ▶ Bidirectional Type Checking

Plan: 3 Refinement Types

- ▶ Combining Types & Logic
- ▶ Reasoning about State
- ▶ Abstract Refinements

Plan: 4 Abstract Interpretation

- ▶ Horn Clause Constraints
- ▶ Galois Connections
- ▶ Predicate Abstraction/Liquid Types
- ▶ Interpolation

Plan: 5 Heap & Dynamic Languages

- ▶ Linear Types
- ▶ Separation Logic
- ▶ Hoare Type Theory
- ▶ Dependent JavaScript

Plan: 6 Project Talks

Link to README

Requirements & Evaluation

1. **Scribe**
2. **Program**
3. **Present**

Requirements: 1. Scribe

- ▶ Lectures will be black-board (not slides)
- ▶ You sign up for one lecture (Online URL)
- ▶ For that lecture, take notes
- ▶ Write up notes in **LaTeX** using provided **template**

Requirements: 2. Program

About **three** “programming” assignments

- ▶ *Implement* some of algorithms (in Haskell)
- ▶ *Use* some verification tools (miscellaneous)

Requirements: 3. Present

You will present one **40 minute talk**

1. Select 1-3 (related) papers from **reading list**
 2. Select presentation date (~ last 5 lectures)
 3. Prepare slides, get vetted by me **1 week in advance**
 4. Present lecture
- ▶ Can add other paper if I'm ok with it.

Questions

?

Lets Begin ...

- ▶ Logics & Decision Procedures
- ▶ Easily enough to teach (many) courses
- ▶ We will scratch the surface just to give a feel

Logics & Decision Procedures

- ▶ **Logic is the Calculus of Computation**
- ▶ May seem *abstract* now ...
- ▶ ... why are we talking about these wierd symbols?!
- ▶ Much/all of program analysis can be boiled down to logic
- ▶ **Language** for reasoning about programs

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. Propositional Logic
2. Theory of *Equality*
3. Theory of *Uninterpreted Functions*
4. Theory of *Difference-Bounded Arithmetic*

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. **Propositional Logic**
2. Theory of *Equality*
3. Theory of *Uninterpreted Functions*
4. Theory of *Difference-Bounded Arithmetic*

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Propositional Logic

A logic is a **language**

- ▶ *Syntax* of formulas (predicates, propositions. . .) in the logic
- ▶ *Semantics* of when are formulas *satisfied* or *valid*

Propositional Logic: Syntax

```
data Symbol -- a set of symbols
```

```
data Pred = PV Symbol
          | Not Pred
          | Pred 'And' Pred
          | Pred 'Or'  Pred
```

Predicates are made of

- ▶ Propositional symbols (“boolean variables”)
- ▶ Combined with And, Or and Not

Propositional Logic: Syntax

```
data Symbol -- a set of symbols
```

```
data Pred = PV Symbol
          | Not Pred
          | Pred 'And' Pred
          | Pred 'Or'  Pred
```

Can build in **other operators** Implies, Iff, Xor etc.

```
p 'imp' q = (Not p 'Or' q)
```

```
p 'iff' q = (p 'And' q) 'Or' (Not p 'And' Not q)
```

```
p 'xor' q = (p 'And' Not q) 'Or' (Not p 'And' q)
```


Propositional Logic: Semantics

Predicate is a **constraint**. For example,

$x_1 \text{ 'xor' } x_2 \text{ 'xor' } x_3$

States “only an **odd number** of the variables can be true”

- ▶ When is such a constraint **satisfiable** or **valid** ?

Propositional Logic: Semantics

Let Values = True, False, ... be a universe of possible "meanings"

An **assignment** is a map setting *value* of each Symbol as True or False

```
data Asgn = Symbol -> Value
```

Semantics/Evaluation Procedure

Defines when an assignment *s* makes a formula *p* true.

```
eval :: Asgn -> Pred -> Bool
```

```
eval s (PV x)      = s x           -- assignment s sat
eval s (Not p)     = not (sat s p)  -- p is NOT satisfied
eval s (p 'And' q) = sat s p && sat s q -- both of p , q are satisfied
eval s (p 'Or' q)  = sat s p || sat s q -- one of p , q are satisfied
```

Propositional Logic: Decision Problem

Decision Problem: Satisfaction

Does $\text{eval } s \ p$ return True for **some** assignment s ?

Decision Problem: Validity

Does $\text{eval } s \ p$ return True for **all** assignments s ?

Satisfaction: A Naive Decision Procedure

Does `eval s p` return `True` for **some** assignment `s` ?

Enumerate all assignments and run `eval` on each!

```
isSat :: Pred -> Bool
```

```
isSat p = exists (\s -> eval s p) ss
```

```
  where
```

```
    ss = asgns $ removeDuplicates $ vars p
```

```
exists f [] = False
```

```
exists f (x:xs) = f x || exists f xs
```

Satisfaction: A Naive Decision Procedure

Does `eval s p` return `True` for **some** assignment `s`?

Enumerate all assignments and run `eval` on each!

Enumerating all Assignments

```
asgns          :: [PVar] -> [Asgn]
asgns []       = [\x -> False]
asgns (x:xs)   = [ext s x t | s <- asgns xs, t <- [True,
```

```
ext s x t      = \y -> if y == x then t else s x
```

```
vars          :: Pred -> [PVar]
vars (PV x)   = [x]
vars (Not p)  = vars p
vars (p 'And' q) = vars p ++ vars q
vars (p 'Or' q) = vars p ++ vars q
```

Obviously Inefficient... (guaranteed) exponential

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. Propositional Logic
2. Propositional Logic + **Theories**
 - ▶ Equality
 - ▶ Uninterpreted Functions
 - ▶ Difference-Bounded Arithmetic

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Propositional Logic + Theory

Layer theories on top of basic propositional logic

Expressions

A new kind of term

```
data Expr
```

Theory

A Theory is Described by

1. Extend universe of Values

2. A set of Operator

- ▶ Syntax : `data Expr = ... | Op [Expr]`
- ▶ Semantics : `eval :: Op -> [Value] -> Value`

3. A set of Relation (i.e. `[Expr] -> Pred`)

- ▶ Syntax : `data Pred = ... | Symbol <=> (Rel [Expr])`
- ▶ Semantics : `eval :: Rel -> [Value] -> Bool`

Propositional Logic + Theory

Layer theories on top of basic propositional logic

Semantics

Extend eval semantics for Operator and Relation

```
eval s (op es)      = eval op [eval s e | e <- es]
eval s (x <=> r es) = eval r  [eval s e | e <- es]
```

->

Satisfaction / Validity

- ▶ **Sat** Does `eval s p` return True for **some** assignment `s` ?
- ▶ **Valid** Does `eval s p` return True for **all** assignments `s` ?

Lets make things concrete!

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. Propositional Logic
2. Propositional Logic + Theories
 - ▶ **Equality**
 - ▶ Uninterpreted Functions
 - ▶ Difference-Bounded Arithmetic

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Propositional Logic + Theory of Equality

1. Values = ... + Integer
2. Operator none
3. Relation
 - ▶ Syntax : a Eq b or a Ne b
 - ▶ Semantics

```
eval Eq [n, m] = (n == m)
```

```
eval Ne [n, m] = not (n == m)
```

Example

```
(x1 'And' x2 'And' x3)  
'And' (x1 <=> a 'Eq' b)  
'And' (x2 <=> b 'Eq' c)  
'And' (x3 <=> a 'Ne' c)
```

Propositional Logic + Theory of Equality

Example

```
(x1 'And' x2 'And' x3)
'And' (x1 <=> a 'Eq' b)
'And' (x2 <=> b 'Eq' c)
'And' (x3 <=> a 'Ne' c)
```

Decision Procedures?

- ▶ **Sat** Does `eval s p` return True for **some** assignment `s` ?

Can we *enumerate* over all assignments? [No]

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. Propositional Logic
2. Propositional Logic + Theories
 - ▶ Equality
 - ▶ **Uninterpreted Functions**
 - ▶ Difference-Bounded Arithmetic

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Propositional Logic + Theory of Equality + Uninterpreted Functions

1. Values : ... + functions [Value] -> Value
2. Operator : App (*apply* App [f,a,b] or just f(a,b))
3. Relation : Eq and Ne (from before)
4. Extended eval

eval s (App (e : [e1...en])) = (eval s e) (eval s e1 ... eval s en)

Example

```
(x1 'And' x2 'And' x3
'And' (x1 <=> a 'Eq' g(g(g(a)))
'And' (x2 <=> a 'Eq' g(g(g(g(g(a))))))
'And' (x3 <=> a 'Ne' g(a))
```

Decision Procedures ?

- **Sat** Does eval s p return True for **some** assignment s ?

Logics & Decision Procedures

We will look very closely at the following

1. Propositional Logic
2. Propositional Logic + Theories
 - ▶ Equality
 - ▶ Uninterpreted Functions
 - ▶ **Difference-Bounded Arithmetic**

(Why? Representative & have “efficient” decision procedures)

Propositional Logic + Difference Bounded Arithmetic

1. Values : ... + Integer
2. Operator : None
3. Relation : $DBn(x,y)$ (or, $x - y \leq n$)
4. Extended eval

`eval s (DB (e1, e2, n)) = (eval s e1) - (eval s e2) <= n`

Example

```
(x1 'And' x2 'And' x3)
'And' (x1 <=> a - b <= 5 )
'And' (x2 <=> b - c <= 10 )
'And' (x3 <=> c - a <= -20 )
```

Decision Procedures ?

- ▶ **Sat** Does `eval s p` return True for **some** assignment `s` ?
- ▶ Can we *enumerate* over all assignments? [Hell, no!]

Next Time: Decision Procedures for SAT/SMT