Refinement Types For Haskell

Niki Vazou,

Eric Seidel, Ranjit Jhala (*UC San Diego*)

Dimitrios Vytiniotis, Simon Peyton-Jones (*Microsoft*)





* From Logical Sub-language

Refinement Types

div::Int->{v:Int | v>0} -> Int Haskell Type-Predicate*

* From Logical Sub-language

Refinement Types

div:: Int -> $\{v | v > 0\}$ -> Int Abbreviated

div :: Int -> $\{v|v>0\}$ -> Int

div :: Int -> {v|v>0} -> Int
good x = let y = 10
in x `div` y







Refinement Types for

Array Safety in ML

Security Protocols in F# CBV

Compiler Correctness in F*

. . .

How about **Haskell**? **CBN**

A Curious Function...

spin :: Int -> Int
spin x = spin x

A Curious Function...

spin :: Int -> {vlfalse}
spin x = spin x

OK

As spin does not return any value

div spin	<pre>:: Int -> {v v>0} -> Int :: Int -> {v false}</pre>
ugly x	<pre>= let y = 0 z = spin 0 in x `div` y</pre>

OK? or Error?

div :: Int -> {v|v>0} -> Int
spin :: Int -> {v|false}
ugly x = let y = 0
 z = spin 0
 in x `div` y

OK under **CBV** evaluation



The Problem

CBV-style typing is *unsound* under CBN!

Reports Erroneous code as OK

1 Motivation

How to refine types under CBN?

Refinement Typing 101



Refinement Typing 101



Refinement Typing 101 Code Typing Logic SMT Code

- 1. Source Code to Typing constraints
- 2. Typing Constraints to Logical VC
- 3. Check VC validity with SMT Solver

















Encode Subtyping as Logical VC

If VC valid then Subtyping holds



Encode Subtyping as Logical VC





Means*: If y reduces to a value then p[y/v]

Encoded as: "y has a value" => p[y/v]

* Flanagan "Hybrid Type Checking" POPL '06



Means: *if* y:{v|p} *then* y:{v|q}

Encoded as: $p \Rightarrow q$



Encode Subtyping ...



... as Logical VC

"x has a value" => true \land "y has a value" => y=0 =>v=0 => v>0 \land "z has a value" => false



Encode Subtyping ...



... as Logical VC

"x has a value" => true	
/ "y has a value" => y=0 =	>v=0 => v>0
/\"z has a value" => false	





CBV: Binders *must be* values

x, y, and z are trivially values



CBV: Binders *must be* values

x, y, and z are trivially values



CBV: Binders *must be* values

x, y, and z are trivially values



CBV: Checker *soundly* reports **OK**

CBN: Binders may not be values

How to encode "x has a value" in CBN ?

1 Motivation

How to refine types under CBN?

2 Refinement Typing 101

How to encode "x has a value" in CBN ?



- 1. **Ignore** environment
- 2. Transform CBN to CBV
- 3. Encode as logical predicate





Non-solutions (see paper)

Observation:

Most expressions provably reduce to a value

Observation:

Most expressions provably *reduce* to a value

If x reduces to a value, then *encode* "x has a value" by true. If x reduces to a value, then *encode* "x has a value" by true.

Means: "If x reduces to a value then p[x/v]"

Encoded as: "x has a value" => p[x/v]

If x reduces to a value, then *encode* "x has a value" by true.



Means:" If x reduces to a value then p[x/v] and x reduces to a value"

Encoded as: p[x/v]

Stratified Types

x:{v:Int[↓]|p} Must reduce to a Value

x:{v:Int lp} May-not reduce to a Value

Stratified Types to Logic

x:{v:Int¹p} encoded as p[x/v]

x:{v:Int lp} encoded as true







n:{v:Intltrue} x:{v:Int[↓]|v=1} |- {v|v>x}<:{v|v>0} y:{v:Int|v>x }









1 Motivation

How to refine types under CBN?

2 Refinements 101

How to encode "x has a value" in CBN ?

3 Stratification

How to enforce stratification?

How to enforce stratification?

x:{v:Int'|p} Must have a Value

Terminating expressions must have a value

Solution: Use termination analysis

How to Verify Termination?

Check termination with Refinement Types

f ::
$$n:\{v:Int^{\downarrow}|0 <= v\} \rightarrow \{v:Int^{\downarrow}|v=1\}$$

f n = if n == 0 then 1 else f (n - 1)

(f n) has a value, if f

Recurses on smaller inputs with a lower bound

f ::
$$n:\{v:Int^{\downarrow}|0 \le v\} \rightarrow \{v:Int^{\downarrow}|v=1\}$$

f n = if n == 0 then 1 else f (n - 1)

Recurses on smaller inputs with a lower bound

Recurses on inputs v s.t. v < n and 0 <= v

f ::
$$n:\{v:Int^{\downarrow}|0 <= v\} \rightarrow \{v:Int^{\downarrow}|v=1\}$$

f n = if n == 0 then 1 else f (n - 1)

Recurses on inputs v s.t. v < n and 0 <= v

Recurses on inputs v:{v:Int¹0<=v /\ v<n}

f :: $n:\{v:Int^{\downarrow}|0 <= v\} \rightarrow \{v:Int^{\downarrow}|v=1\}$ f n = if n == 0 then 1 else f (n - 1)

Just check f's recursive calls with type

f :: {v:Int|0 <= v / v < n} -> {v:Int|v=1}

OK: Verifies (f n) has a value

Termination Proofs are Semantic

We proved Greater Common Divisor terminates, using properties of mod.

We cannot always prove termination

We cannot always prove termination

Cannot verify collatz terminates...



Termination is a Luxury not Necessity

We can check "non-terminating" code

1 Motivation

How to refine types under CBN?

2 Refinements 101

How to encode "x has a value" in CBN ?

3 Stratification

How to enforce labels?

4 Termination

How is termination in practice?

LiquidHaskell

Refinement Type Checker for Haskell

See Eric's HS Talk Tomorrow!





Precise

96% recursive functions proved terminating



Automatic

61% functions proved automatically

1 Motivation

How to refine types under CBN?

2 Refinements 101

How to encode "x has a value" in CBN ?

3 Stratification

How to enforce labels?

4 Termination

Is termination practical? Yes.

5 Evaluation

In The Paper!

- Formalism & Soundness
- Stratified Algebraic Data Types
- Encode Infinite Data
- More Termination Proofs

Refinement Types for Haskell

Problem

Under CBN, Refinement Types "need" termination.

Solution

Prove termination *using* Refinement Types...

Evaluation

Which is highly effective in practice.



